

A relative survival model to compare the risk of mortality in kidney transplanted patients versus patients awaiting transplantation. M. Lorent*, K. Trébern-Launay, C. Legendre, H. Kreis, G. Mourad, V. Garrigue, L. Rostaing, N. Kamar, M. Kessler, M. Ladrière,

INTRODUCTION

- ► In 1999, Wolfe et al. (New England Journal of Medicine) have compared the mortality in kidney transplanted patients versus patients awaiting a transplantation for the first time using an appropriate methodology (Survival Cox model). They showed that:
- ▷ in the short-term, the risk of death was higher in transplanted patients > an inversion of the risk ratio at **106 post transplantation days** ▷ in the long-term, the risk of death was higher in dialysis patients
- Main limitation: the method did not allow to consider specific variables of the transplantation or the donor.

OBJECTIVE

- To model the transplant recipients relative mortality compared to comparable patients remained under dialysis awaiting a transplantation.
- ▷ To identify **patients' risk profiles** compared to dialysis treatment.
- To estimate the time of post transplantation transition from an excess to a decrease risk of mortality.

METHODS - Estimation of the expected risk of death in dialysis

Since no mortality database of dialysis patients was available, we needed to model the expected risk of death in this reference population

- A competitive hazards model was performed based on 9852 dialysis patients registered on waiting list from the French register REIN (Réseau Epidémiologie et Information en Néphrologie). During the follow-up:
- ▷ 436 patients died
- ▷ 7550 patients were transplanted

METHODS - Estimation of the risk of death related to the transplantation (1)

- Transplanted patients were selected from the French prospective DIVAT cohort (www.divat.fr/en):
- Adult recipients at the time of waiting list registration
- Transplanted for the first time from 1996
- Centers: Nantes, Necker, Nancy, Montpellier, Toulouse and Lyon
- Patients receiving a kidney transplant from a heart beatting deceased donor
- Patients who began dialysis treatment from 1995
- ► 3941 transplanted patients included

E. Morelon, F. Buron, M. Giral and Y. Foucher

EA 4275 - SPHERE, Nantes University, 1 rue Gaston Veil, 44035 Nantes, France Inserm U1064, Transplantation, Urology and Nephrology Institute (ITUN), 30 bd Jean Monnet, 44093 Nantes, France *marine.lorent@univ-nantes.fr



Di√at www.divat.fr Données Informatisées et VAlidées en Transplantation





Transplanted patients

RESULTS



Examples of individual instantaneous relative hazard functions predicted according to post-transplantation time.

Model individual predictions:

- \triangleright Patient 1 (few risk factors) \rightarrow Excess risk of death period of 24 days.
- \triangleright Patient 2 (some risk factors) \rightarrow Excess risk of death period of 293 days.
- \triangleright Patient 3 (many risk factors) \rightarrow No under risk of death period.

CONCLUSION

Model which allows to identify patients profiles with a Useful information for graft decision/allocation.

www.sphere-nantes.fr Biostatistique, Pharmacoépidémiologie et Mesures Subjectives en Santé

The authors declare no competing financial interests.





precocious/delayed transplantation benefit compared to dialysis.